

Law – The Right to bear Arms

The people of the USA have misused and brought a bad name to the right to bear arms whose intentions by the founding fathers of the USA were much different from what is happening today.

The founding fathers of the USA envisioned that the right of people to bear arms was on the assumption that they would belong to a well regulated militia.

According to the Second Amendment of the USA constitution:

A well regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.

The arms act in Bharat is still based on the British colonist law that was brought into force after the first war of independence in 1857.

This British law was based and continues to be based on the complete emasculation of the citizens of Bharat so that they can be unresisting and obedient weak slaves, even if unwilling, to the whims and fancies of the FUKUS based coconut “democratic” government.

The so called “freedom” loving FUKUS based coconut “democratic” government of Bharat still maintains and enforces this colonial British law which is meant to make obedient emasculated weak unresisting slaves of the citizens of Bharat.

In fact Atal Bihari Vajpayee himself said:

“The right to bear and carry arms is an inviolable and sacred right of every free citizen and though this has not been included in the list of fundamental rights enumerated in the Indian Constitution, the right of self defence accepted and

guaranteed by the Indian Penal Code implicitly acknowledges the right to possess arms”.

Only law abiding men and women above the age of 16, belonging to a well regulated militia or those law abiding men and women who are married and consistent tax payers for at least 5 years of tax paying must be allowed to bear arms publicly after they have enrolled themselves in the well regulated militia for at least 6 months and have taken a 15 day course in weapons handling.

Even mentally challenged and so called “mentally ill” should be allowed to bear arms if they have not seriously harmed themselves or others physically in the previous 3 years.

The unemployed and even part time employed males, should not be allowed to bear arms, especially publicly.

This especially applies those who do not belong to a well regulated militia and are single and below the age of 40 and who are not tax payers for at least 7 years.

This condition can be waived if these men serve in the well regulated militia for at least 7 years.

Employment just does not mean salaried employment, even employment at farming and seasonal occupations should be considered if this employment is at least for 8 months of the year, each year for 5 years.

Tax paying doesn't mean just taxes paid by money.

It also means a person who does not donate money, but at least 45 days of their time every year to the True Temples for the Brahma Dana for at least 5 years.

Senior citizens and physically disabled or medically unfit residents can be allowed to bear arms once they get arms training for 15 days and practice any form of □□□□ (Dhyan) for at least 40 minutes a day for 12 months.

All girl children and women above the age of 12 should be allowed to bear arms.

This excludes women above 16 who are convicted for serious theft, violent and sexual offences who should be allowed to bear arms for at least 5 years after they have served their sentence.

In fact any person male, female or transgender, who is convicted for serious theft, violent and sexual offences should not be allowed to bear arms for at least 5 years after they have served their sentence.

All the young militia men should only be given arms to bear publicly only after they get written character certificates about their integrity, wisdom, reasonably calm and mature demeanour and respect for women, children, elders and animals.

This certificate should be obtained from their mother or teachers who taught them at the well regulated militia or at school/college for at least six months, and at least three women who are not relatives.

If they cannot get the above certificates, they should only be allowed to bear arms during the training of the well regulated militia, and publicly only under the direct supervision, monitoring and control of a well regulated militia member who has obtained the above certificate.

All law abiding families, after they have taken a 15 day course in weapons handling, should be allowed to possess one semi automatic rifle and one semi automatic pistol at home or also while they are traveling in non public transport and private vehicles.

They cannot bear them publicly or in public places without belonging to a well regulated militia or fulfilling the conditions mentioned in the beginning of this article.

They can only use these arms in defense against home invaders with violent intent or for shooting practice.

They can use it for hunting only if they strictly abide by the Law for Hunting.

This does not include assault rifles which can only be obtained with permission from the government.

A weapons permit is needed only when they need to carry it on their person at all times even in public transport and public places.

All RTI/whistle blower/investigation officer/journalist/activist/witness/other investigators must be given police protection if they ask for it.

Even if they do not have proof, if they pass a lie detector test, that is sufficient grounds for them to be offered police protection.

They should also be given weapons permit to allow them to bear arms publicly.

A family should be allowed to go without any criminal charges if they wound or kill a home invader who has invaded their home and presents them with serious physical harm.

Any person who kills or harms another using a firearm in an incident of unprovoked impulsive actions must face the same punishment as specified for a violent criminal.

Anybody who threatens another person with a firearm must be thrown in jail for 6 months and made to do hard labour and also given the punishment specified in violent offences.

Killing in self defence against a home invader **who has violent intent** should not bring any punishment to the person who killed the home invader.

Killing in self defence against a gang of people who have violent intent should also not bring any punishment to the defender.

The key is to establish violent intent.

Violent intent does not mean just threatening or shouting.

Violent intent means physical assault or attempt or even preparing/conspiring to physical assault either with a weapon, lethal weapon or even bare hands or a stick if the attacker is much more stronger than the defender.